



Fresnel Diffraction

17.1. INTRODUCTION

hen waves encounter obstacles (or openings), they bend round the edges of the obstacles, if the dimensions of the obstacles are comparable to the wavelength of the waves. The bending of waves around the edges of an obstacle is called *diffraction*.

Fig. 17.1 illustrates the passage of waves through an opening. When the opening is large compared to the wavelength, the waves do not bend round the edges. When the opening is small, the bending round the edges is noticeable. When the opening is very small, the waves spread over the



Light diffraction by a razor blade.

At a Glance

- > Introduction
- > Huygens-Fresnel Theory
- Fresnel's Assumptions
- Reactilinear Propagation of Light
- Zone Plate
- Distinction Betwen Interference and Diffraction
- Fresnel and Fraunhoffer Types
 of Diffraction
- Diffraction at a Circular Aperture
- Diffraction at an Opaque Circular Disc
- Diffraction Pattern Due to A Straight Edge
- Diffraction Pattern Due to a Narrow Slit
- Diffraction Due to a Narrow Wire
- Cornu's Spiral
- Cornu's Spiral (Alternative Method)
- > Diffraction at a Straight Edge

entire surface behind the opening. The opening acts an independent source of waves, which propagate in all directions. The diffraction effect is observable quite close to the opening when the size of the opening is very small. When the opening is large, diffraction effect is observed at greater distances from the opening. In general diffraction of waves becomes noticeable only when the size of the obstacle is comparable to a wavelength.



Diffraction-(a) A plane wave does not bend at the slit if the opening $d \gg \lambda$. (b) Bending is perceptible when $\lambda \approx d$ (c). When $\lambda > d$, the bending takes place to such an extent that light can be perceived in a direction normal to the ray propagation suggesting that the opening acts as a point source.

Fig. 17.1

It is a matter of common experience that the path of light entering a dark room through a hole in the window illuminated by sunlight is straight. Similarly, if an opaque obstacle is placed in the path of light, a sharp shadow is cast on the screen, indicating thereby that light travels in straight lines. Rectilinear propagation of light can be easily explained on the basis of Newton's corpuscular theory. But it has been observed that when a beam of light passes through a small opening (a small circular hole or a narrow slit) it spreads to some extent into the region of the geometrical shadow also. If light energy is propagated in the form of waves, then similar to sound waves, one would expect bending of a beam of light round the edges of an opaque obstacle or illumination of the geometrical shadow.

However, diffraction phenomenon is not readily apparent in case of light waves. It becomes significant when the aperture size is of the order of one wavelength wide. Diffraction and interference are basically equivalent.

17.2. HUYGENS-FRESNEL THEORY

According to Huygen's wave theory of light, each progressive wave produces secondary waves, the envelope of which forms the secondary wave front. In Fig. 17.2 (a), S is a source of monochromatic light and MN is a small aperture. XY is the screen placed in the path of light. AB is the illuminated portion of the screen and above A and below B is the region of the geometrical shadow. Considering MN as the primary wavefront, according to Huygen's construction, if secondary wave fronts are



Diffraction effect.

in the geometrical shadow. Thus, the shadows formed by small obstacles are not sharp. This bending of light round the edges of an obstacle or the encroachment of light within the geometrical shadow is known as *diffraction*. Similarly, if an opaque obstacle MN is placed in the path of light [Fig.17: (b)], there should be illumination in the geometrical shadow region AB also. But the illumination is the geometrical shadow of an obstacle is not commonly observed because the light sources are not point sources and secondly the obstacles used are of very large size compared to the wavelength of light. If a shadow of an obstacle is cast by an extended source, say a frosted electric bulb, light from every point on the surface of the bulb forms its own diffraction pattern (bright and dark diffraction bands) and these overlap such that no single pattern can be identified. The term diffraction is referred to such problems in which one considers the resultant effect produced by a limited portion of a wavefront.



Diffraction phenomena are part of our common experience. The luminous border that surrounds the profile of a mountain just before the sun rises behind it, the light streaks that one sees while looking at a strong source of light with half shut eyes and the colored spectra (arranged in the form of a cross) that one sees while viewing a distant source of light through a fine piece of cloth are all examples of diffraction effects.

Augustine Jean Fresnel in 1815, combined in a striking manner Huygens' wavelets with the principle of interference and could satisfactorily explain the bending of light round obstacles and also the rectilinear propagation of light.

17.3. FRESNEL'S ASSUMPTIONS

According to Fresnel, the resultant effect at an external point due to a wavefront will depend on the factors discussed below:

In Fig. 17.3, S is a point source of monochromatic light and MN is a small aperture. XY is the screen and SO is perpendicular to XY. MCN is the incident spherical wavefront due to the point source S. To obtain the resultant effect at a point P on the screen, Fresnel assumed the following:

(1) A wave front can be divided into a large number of strips or zones called Fresnel's zones of



small area and the resultant effect at any point will depend on the combined effect of all the secondary waves emanating from the various zones;

(2) The effect at a point due to any particular zone will depend on the distance of the point from the zone;

(3) The effect at P will also depend on the obliquity of the point with reference to the zone under consideration, e.g. due to the part of the wavefront at C, the effect will be a maximum at O and decreases with increasing obliquity. It is a maximum in a direction radially outwards from C and it decreases in the opposite direction. The effect at a point due to the obliquity factor is proportional to $(1 + \cos \theta)$ where $\angle PCO = \theta$. Considering an elementary wavefront at C, the effect is maximum at O because $\theta = 0$ and $\cos \theta = 1$. Similarly, in a direction tangential to the primary wavefront at C (along CQ) the resultant effect is one half of that along CO because $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ and $\cos 90^{\circ} = 0$. In the direction CS, the resultant effect is zero since $\theta = 180^{\circ}$ and $\cos 180^{\circ} = -1$ and $1 + \cos 180^{\circ} = 1 - 1 = 0$. This property of the secondary waves



Augustin Jean Fresnei (1788-1827)

eliminates one of the difficulties experienced with the simpler form of Huygens principle viz., that if the secondary waves spread out in all directions from each point on the primary wavefront, they should give a wave traveling forward as well as backward. Now, as the amplitude at the rear of the wave is zero there will evidently be no back wave.

17.4. RECTILINEAR PROPAGATION OF LIGHT

ABCD is a plane wavefront perpendicular to the plane of the paper [Fig. 17.4 (a)] and P is an external point at a distance b perpendicular to ABCD. To find the resultant intensity at P due to the wavefront ABCD, Fresnel's method consists in dividing the wavefront into a number of *half period elements* or *zones* called Fresnel's zones and to find the effect of all the zones at the point P.

If spheres are constructed with P as centre and radii equal to $b+\lambda/2$, $b+2\lambda/2$, $b+3\lambda/2$ etc., they will cut out circular areas of radii OM_1 , OM_2 , OM_3 , etc., on the wave front. These circular zones are called **half period zones** or **half period elements**. Each zone differs from its neighbour by a phase difference of π or path difference of $\lambda/2$. Thus the secondary waves starting from the point O and M₁ and reaching P will have a phase difference of π or a path difference $\lambda/2$. A Fresnel half period zone with respect to an actual point P is a thin annular zone of the primary wavefront in which the secondary waves from any two corresponding points of neighboring zones differ in path by $\lambda/2$.





In Fig. 17.4 (b) O is the pole of the wavefront XY with reference to the external point P. OP is perpendicular to XY. In Fig. 17.4 (1) Fig.17.4 (c) 1, 2, 3 etc are the half period zones constructed on the primary wavefront XY. OM_1 is the radius of the first zone. OM_2 is the radius of the second zone and so on. P is the point at which the resultant intensity has to be calculated.

$$OP = b, OM_1 = r_1, OM_2 = r_2, OM_3 = r_3, Com$$

And

$$M_1 P = b + \frac{\lambda}{2}, \quad M_2 P = b + \frac{2\lambda}{2}, \quad M_3 P = b + \frac{3\lambda}{2}$$
 etc.

The area of the first half period zone is $\pi OM_1^2 = \pi \left[M_1 P^2 - OP^2 \right] = \pi \left[\left(b + \frac{\lambda}{2} \right)^2 - b^2 \right]$

$$=\pi \left[b\lambda + \frac{\lambda^2}{4}\right] = \pi b\lambda$$

As λ is small, λ^2 term is neglected.

 $r_1 = OM_1 = \sqrt{b\lambda}$ The radius of the first half period zone is, The radius of the second half period zone is, $OM_2 = [M_2P^2 - OP^2]^{1/2}$ $= \left[\left(b + \lambda \right)^2 - b^2 \right]^{1/2}$

The area of the second half period zone, $= \pi \left[OM_2^2 - OM_1^2 \right]$ $=\pi \left[2b\lambda - b\lambda \right]$

$$=\pi b\lambda$$
(17.2)

 $=\sqrt{2b\lambda}$

Thus, the area of each half period zone is equal to $\pi b \lambda$. Also the radii of the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, ex half period zones are $\sqrt{1b\lambda}$, $\sqrt{2b\lambda}$, $\sqrt{3b\lambda}$ etc. Therefore, the radii are proportional to the square roots of the natural numbers. However, it should be remembered that the areas of the zones are not constant but are dependent on - (i) λ , the wavelength of light and (ii) b, the distance of the point from the wavefront. The area of the zone increases with increase in the wavelength of light and with increase in the distance of the point P from the wavefront.

As discussed in §17.3 the effect at a point will depend on (i) the distance of P from the wavefront, (ii) the area of the zone and (iii) the obliquity factor. Here, the area of each zone is the same. The secondary waves reaching the point P are continuously out of phase and in phase with reference to the central or the first half period zone. Let m₁, m₂, m₃, etc represent the amplitudes of vibration of the ether particles at P due to secondary waves from the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, etc. half period zones (see Fig. 17.5). As we



Scanned with CamScanner



(17.1)

Chapter : 17 : Fresnel Diffraction **#** 399

consider the zones outwards from O, the obliquity increases and hence the quantities m_1, m_2, m_3 etc are of continuously decreasing order. Thus, m_1 is slightly greater than m_2 ; m_2 is slightly greater than m_3 and so on. Due to the phase difference of π between any two consecutive zones, if the displacements of the ether particles due to odd numbered zones is in the positive direction, then due to the even numbered zones the displacement will be in the negative direction at the same instant. As the amplitudes are of gradually decreasing magnitude, the amplitude of vibration at P due to any zone can be approximately taken as the mean of the amplitudes due to the zones preceding and succeeding it.

$$m_2 = \frac{m_1 + m_3}{2}$$

The resultant amplitude at P at any instant is given by,

$$A = m_1 - m_2 + m_3 - m_4 \dots + m_n$$
 if *n* is odd.

(If *n* is even, the last quantity is $-m_n$).

 $A = \frac{m_1}{2} + \left[\frac{m_1}{2} - m_2 + \frac{m_3}{2}\right] + \left[\frac{m_3}{2} - m_4 + \frac{m_5}{2}\right] + \dots$ $m_2 = \frac{m_2}{2} + \frac{m_3}{2} \quad and \quad m_4 = \frac{m_3}{2} + \frac{m_5}{2}$ $A = \frac{m_1}{2} + \frac{m_n}{2} \dots \dots \inf n \text{ is odd.}$ $A = \frac{m_1}{2} + \frac{m_{n-1}}{2} - m_n \dots \inf n \text{ is even.}$

But

If the whole wave front ABCD is unobstructed, the number of half period zones that can be constructed with reference to the point P is infinite i.e. $n \to \infty$. As the amplitudes are of gradually diminishing order, m_n and m_{n-1} tend to be zero.

Therefore, the resultant amplitude at P due to the whole wavefront $= A = \frac{m_1}{2}$. (17.3) The intensity at a point is proportional to the square of the amplitude.

 $I \propto \frac{m_{\rm l}^2}{4} \tag{17.4}$

Thus, the intensity at P is only one-fourth of that due to the first half period zone alone. Here, only half the area of the first half period zone is effective in producing the illumination at the point P. A small obstacle of the size of half the area of the first half period zone placed at O will screen the effect of the whole wavefront and the intensity at P due to the rest of the wavefront will be zero. While considering the rectilinear propagation of light, the size of the obstacle used is far greater than the area of the first half period zone and hence the bending effect of light round corners (diffraction effects) cannot be noticed. In the case of sound waves, the wavelengths are far greater than the wavelength of light, and hence the area of the first half period zone for a plane wavefront of sound is very large. If the effect of sound at a point beyond an obstacle is to be shadowed, an obstacle of very large size has to be used to get no sound effect. If the size of the obstacles placed in the path of light is comparable to the wavelength of light, then it is possible to observe illumination in the region of the geometrical shadow also. Thus, rectilinear propagation of light is only approximately true.

17.5. ZONE PLATE

A zone plate is a specially constructed screen such that light is obstructed from every alternate zone. It can be designed so as to cut off light due to the even numbered zones or that due to the odd

numbered zones. The correctness of Fresnel's method in dividing a wavefront into half period zone can be verified with its help.

To construct a zone plate, concentric circles are drawn on white paper such that the radii are proportional to the square roots of the natural numbers (as shown in §17.4, the radii are proportional to the square roots of the natural numbers). The odd numbered zones (i.e. 1st, 3rd, 5th, etc) are covered with black ink and a reduced photograph is taken. The drawing appears as shown in Fig. 17.6 (b). The negative of the photograph will be as shown in Fig. 17.6 (a). In the developed negative, the odd zones are



transparent to incident light and the even zones will cut off light.

If such a plate is held perpendicular to an incident beam of light and a screen is moved on the other side to get the image, it will be observed that maximum brightness is possible at some position of the screen say b cm from the zone plate (Fig. 17.7) XO is the upper half of the incident plane wavefront. P is the point at which the light intensity is to be considered. The distance of the point P from the wavefront is b. $OM_1(=r_1), OM_2(=r_2)$ etc are the radii of the zones.

and $r_2 = \sqrt{2b\lambda}$ where λ is the $r_1 = \sqrt{b\lambda}$ wavelength of light.

$$r_n = \sqrt{nb\lambda}$$
 or $b = \frac{r_n^2}{n\lambda}$



(17.5)

If the source is at a large distance from the zone plate, a bright spot will be obtained at P. As the distance of the source is large, the incident wavefront can be taken as a plane one with respect to the small area of the zone plate. The even numbered zones cut off the light and hence resultant amplitude at $P = A = m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + \dots$ etc. In this case the focal length of the zone plate f_n is given by

$$f_n = b = \frac{r_n^2}{n\lambda} \tag{17.6}$$

Thus, a zone plate has different foci for different wavelengths. The radius of the nth zone increases with increasing value of λ . It is very interesting to note that as the even numbered zones are opaque, the intensity at P is much greater than that when the whole wavefront is exposed to the point P.

In the first case the resultant amplitude is given by

 $A = m_1 + m_3 + m_5 + \dots + m_n \dots$ (*n* is odd)

When the whole wavefront is unobstructed, the amplitude is given by

$$A = m_1 - m_2 + m_3 - m_4 \dots + m_n$$

= $\frac{m_1}{2}$ (if *n* is very large and *n* is odd).

If a parallel beam of white light is incident on the zone plate, different colours come to focus at different points along the line OP. Thus, the function of a zone plate is similar to that of a convex

Chapter : 17 : Fresnel Diffraction

(converging) lens and a formula connecting the distance of the object and image points can be obtained for a zone plate also.

ACTION OF A ZONE PLATE FOR AN INCIDENT SPHERICAL WAVE FRONT 17.5.1.

Let XY represent the section of the zone plate perpendicular to the plane of the paper. S is a point source of light, P is the position of the screen for a bright image, 'a' is the distance of the source from the zone plate and b is the distance of the screen from the plate. OM,, OM, OM₃, (r₁, r₂, r₃) etc are the radii of the 1st, 2nd, 3rd etc. half period zones. The position of the screen is such that from one zone to the next there is an

increasing path difference of $\frac{\pi}{2}$.

Thus,

$$SO + OP = a + b$$

$$SM_1 + M_1P = a + b + \frac{\lambda}{2}$$

$$SM_2 + M_2P = a + b + \frac{2\lambda}{2}$$
 and so on

From the ΔSM_1O

 $SM_1 = \left(SO^2 + OM_1^2\right)^{1/2}$ $=(a^2+r_1^2)^{1/2}$

Similarly from the
$$\Delta OM_1 P$$

$$M_1 P = \left(OP^2 + OM_1^2\right)^{1/2} \\ = \left(b^2 + r_1^2\right)^{1/2}$$

Substituting the values of SM_1 and M_1P in equation (17.7), we get

$$(a^{2} + r_{1}^{2})^{1/2} + (b^{2} + r_{1}^{2})^{1/2} = a + b + \frac{\lambda}{2}$$

$$a \left(1 + \frac{r_{1}^{2}}{a^{2}}\right)^{1/2} + b \left(1 + \frac{r_{1}^{2}}{b^{2}}\right)^{1/2} = a + b + \frac{\lambda}{2}$$

$$a + \frac{r_{1}^{2}}{2a} + b + \frac{r_{1}^{2}}{2b} = a + b + \frac{\lambda}{2}$$

$$\frac{r_{1}^{2}}{2} \left[\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}\right] = \frac{\lambda}{2}$$

$$r_{1}^{2} \left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}\right) = \lambda$$

Similarly for r_n i.e. the radius of the nth zone, the relation can be written as

$$r_n^2 \left[\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} \right] = n\lambda$$

Applying the sign convention,





401

(17.8)

of light employed. DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A ZONE PLATE AND A CONVEX LENS

1752 For a given wavelength of light, a convex lens has only one focal length given by

$$\frac{1}{f} = (\mu - 1) \left(\frac{1}{R_1} - \frac{1}{R_2} \right)$$

more than for red rays of light. R_{1} are the radii of curvature. In a course, new number of refractive index for violet rays of light because for a given material the refractive index for violet rays of light R_{1} and R_{2} and R_{2 where *f* is the focal length of the lens, μ is the refractive index of the material of the lens and p_{i} is the refractive index of light come to focus nearer μ_{i} is the refractive index of light come to focus nearer μ_{i} is the refractive index of the material of the lens and p_{i} is the refractive index of the material of the lens and p_{i} is the refractive index of the material of the lens and p_{i} is the refractive index of the material of the lens and p_{i} is the refractive index of the material of the lens and p_{i} is the refractive index of the material of the lens and p_{i} is the refractive index of the material of the lens and p_{i} is the refractive index of the material of the lens and p_{i} is the refractive index of the material of the lens and p_{i} is the refractive index of the material of the lens and p_{i} is the refractive index of the material of the lens and p_{i} is the refractive index of the material of the lens and p_{i} is the refractive index of the material of the lens and p_{i} is the refractive index of the material of the lens and p_{i} is the refractive index of the material of the lens and p_{i} is the refractive index of the material of the lens and p_{i} is the refractive index of the material of the lens and p_{i} is the refractive index of the material of the lens and p_{i} is the refractive index of the material of the lens and p_{i} is the refractive index of the material of the lens and p_{i} is the refractive index of the material of the material of the lens and p_{i} is the refractive index of the material of the mat where *f* is the focal length of the tens, μ is a convex lens, the violet rays of light come to focus nearer the equation R_1 are the radii of curvature. In a convex lens, the violet rays of light come for violet rays of R_2 are the radii of curvature. In a convex lens, the violet rays of light come to focus nearer the equation R_2 are the radii of curvature.

use that period elements occurrence and and the period elements can be present on each zone, $\int_{a}^{b} h_{a}^{b}$ is the position on the image when $(2_{m} - 1)$ half period elements can be present on each zone, $\int_{a}^{b} h_{a}^{b}$ focal length of the zone plate is given by the half period elements decreases and more half period elements can be present on each zone. It period elements decreases and more half period elements can be present on each zone. It period elements can be present on each zone. elements can be constructed on each zone. As the screen is moved nearer the zone plate, the ²⁰ of elements can be constructed on each zone. As the screen is moved nearer the present on each 2000 and 2000 In the case of a zone plane, using the vertice P an odd number of half period. Each focus corresponds to the position where, with reference to P an odd number of half period. than for fed rays of a zone plate, there are a number of foci between the point O and P (Fig. [7]3]. In the case of a zone plate, there are a number of foci between the point O and P (Fig. [7]3].

$$f_{m} = \frac{r_{a}^{2}}{(2m-1)n\lambda}$$
(17.16)

of light and (2m-1) is the number of odd half period elements present on each zone. For example he constructed on each zone, then the focal length of the zone plate f, is given by if the position of the screen is such that with reference to the point P, three half period elements on obtained. In equation (17.10), r is the radius of the n° zone of the wavefront, λ is the wavefront Putting m = 1, 2, 3., etc., the different positions of the screen for a bright image can be

the first zone contains three half period elements for a particular position of the screen, then the Let the first zone contain only one period element. Then, the amplitude at P due to this zone is n. E amplitude at P due to the first none With the decrease in the focal length of the zone plate, the brightness of the image decrease

$$mm_1 - m_2 + m_3 = \frac{m_1}{2} + \left[\frac{m_1}{2} - m_2 + \frac{m_3}{2}\right] + \frac{m_3}{2} = \frac{m_1}{2} + \frac{m_3}{2}$$

 $m_1 + m_2$ is less than m_2 , because $m_1 > m_2$. Further, in a zone plate (for the same number

red light, which is reverse in the case of a convex lens. of odd half period elements contained in each zone) the focal length for violet light is more than far

Comparison between a zone plate and a convex lens:

1. Both the zone plate and convex lens form a real image of the object and the equations connecting the conjugate distances are similar

Chapter: 17: Fresnel Diffraction - 403

(1)3.

2. The focal lengths of both depend on the wavelength, *i*, and hence suffer from chromatic aberration. The chromatic aberration in a zone plate is much more severe than in a convert ACT OF





- 3. A zone plane acts simultaneously as a convex lens and as a conceive lens. In addition to a real image, a virtual image is also formed simultaneously.
- A convex lens forms only a real image.
- In case of zone plate the image is formed by the diffraction phenomenon. 5 In case of a convex lens the image is formed due to refraction of light
- The zone plate has got multiple for: on either side of the plane. Hence, the intensity of the image formed will be much less.
- Convex lens has only one focus. As all the light is focused at one point, the intensity of the image will be more.
- 0 In a zone plate, waves reaching the image point through any two alternate zones differ in path by λ and in phase by 2π .
- In case of a convex lens all the rays reaching the image point have zero path or phase
- 7. A zone plate can be used over a wide range of wavelengths from microwaves to x-rays Glass lens cannot be used beyond the visible region

17.6. DISTINCTION BETWEEN INTERFERENCE AND DIFFRACTION

The main differences between interference and diffraction are as follows:

4	(Lu	1	-	
 All bright bunds are of same intensity. 	 Regions of minimum intensity are perfectly dark. 	 Interference fringes may or may not be of the same width. 	 Interference is the result of interaction of light coming from different wave fronts originating from the source. 	INTERFERENCE
 The different maxima are of varying intensities with maximum intensity for central maximum. 	 Regions of minimum intensity are not perfectly dark. 	Diffraction fringes are not of the same width.	 Diffraction is the result of interaction of light coming from different parts of the same wavefront. 	DIFFRACTION

17.7. FRESNEL AND FRAUNHOFFER TYPES OF DIFFRACTION

The diffraction phenomena are broadly classified into two types: Fresnel diffraction and Fraunhoffer diffraction.

1. Fresnel diffraction: In this type of diffraction, the source of light and the screen are effectively at finite distances from the obstacle (Fig. 17.9a). Observation of Fresnel diffraction phenomenon does not require any lenses. The incident wave front is not planar. As a result, the phase of secondary wavelets is not the same at all points in the plane of the obstacle. The resultant amplitude at any point of the screen is obtained by the mutual interference of secondary wavelets from different elements of unblocked



ap

th

re

W

21

P

e

d

C

6

(

Surface of Fresnel diffraction.

portions of wave front. It is experimentally simple but the analysis proves to be very complex.



2. Fraunhoffer diffraction: In this type of diffraction, the source of light and the screen are effectively at infinite distances from the obstacle. Fraunhoffer diffraction pattern can be easily observed in practice. The conditions required for Fraunhoffer diffraction are achieved using two convex lenses, one to make the light from the source parallel and the other to focus the light after diffraction on to the screen (Fig. 17.9b). The diffraction is thus produced by the interference between parallel rays. The incident wave front as such is plane and the secondary wavelets, which originate from the unblocked portions of the wave front, are in the same phase at every point in the plane of the obstacle. This problem is simple to handle mathematically because the rays are parallel. The incoming light is rendered parallel with a lens and diffracted beam is focused on the screen with another lens.

Fresnel class of diffraction phenomenon is treated in this chapter.

the rest of the zones are exposed to the point P and the resultant amplitude at $P = \frac{m_2}{2} \operatorname{approx}_{U_{\text{traj}}}$ where m_2 is the amplitude due to the second zone $\begin{bmatrix} m_2 - m_1 + m_4 - \dots - m_2^2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ approximately

Similarly, if two half period zones can be constructed on the surface of the disc, the result

amplitude at P due to the exposed zones will be $\frac{m_3}{2}$ and so on. Thus, the point P will al_{Way_1} be amplitude at P due to the exposed zones will be $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ bright but the intensity at P decreases with increase in the diameter of the disc and the exposed $\frac{1}{2}$ diameter of the disc, the most effective central zones will be cut off by the disc and the e_{xposed} of diameter of the disc, the most effective central zones will be chosed by the center of the geometrical zones are more oblique with reference to the point P. Thus (at P) the center of the geometrical shadow will be bright as if the disc were absent. The diffraction pattern consists of a central b_{TBZ} spot surrounded by alternate bright and dark rings, as shown in Fig. 17.14 (b).

17.10. DIFFRACTION PATTERN DUE TO A STRAIGHT EDGE

Let S be narrow slit illuminated by a source of monochromatic light of wavelength, λ , $\eta_{\rm c}$ Let S be narrow sht illuminated by a source of inductionality again the receipting L. T_{ke} length of the sht is perpendicular to the plane of the paper. AD is the straight edge and the length of the edge is parallel to the length of slit (Fig.17.15). XY is the incident cylindrical wavefront, p is a



point on the screen and SAP is perpendicular to the screen. The screen is perpendicular to the plane of the paper. Below the point P is the geometrical shadow and above P is the illuminated portion.

Let the distance AP be b. With reference to the point P, the wave front can be divided into a number of half period strips, as shown in Fig. 17.16. XY is the wave front, A is the pole of the wave front and AM_1 , M_1M_2 , M_2M_3 etc measure of the thickness of the 1st, 2nd, 3rd etc half period strips. With the increase in the order of the strip, the area of es (Fig. 17.16)

$$PM_1 = b + \frac{\lambda}{2}$$
 and $PM_2 = b + \frac{2\lambda}{2}$ etc.

Let P' be a point on the screen in the illuminated portion (Fig. 17.17). To calculate the resultant effect at P' due to the wave front XY, let us join S to P'. This line



Chapter : 17 : Fresnel Diffraction = 409

innets the wave front at B. B is the pole of the wave front with reference to the point F and the intensity at P will depend manify on the number of half period strips enclosed between the points A and B. The effect at P due to the wave front above B is similar at points of the screen whereas it is a first strip. different at different points due to the wave front between B and A. The point P will be of maximum intensity, if the number of half period strips enclosed between B and A n odd and the intensity at P will be minimum if the number of half period strips enclosed between B and A is even 17.10.1. POSITIONS OF MAXIMUM AND MINIMUM INTENSITY

Let the distance between the slit and the straight edge be a and the distance between the straight edge and the screen be b (Fig. 17.17). Let PP be a The path difference, $\tilde{b} = AP' - BP'$



where x is the distance of the

Similarly, P' will be of minimum intensity if $\delta = 2n \frac{\lambda}{2}$

$$2n\frac{\lambda}{2} = \frac{ax_n^2}{2b(a+b)} \quad \text{or} \quad x_n = \frac{\sqrt{2n(a+b)b\lambda}}{a}$$

where x_n is the distance of the n^{th} dark band from P. Thus, diffraction bands of varying intensi (roughly corresponding to maxima and minima) are observed above the geometrical shadow in above P and the bands disappear and uniform illumination occurs if P is far away from P

17.10.2. INTENSITY AT A POINT INSIDE THE GEOMETRICAL SHADOW (STRAIGHT EDGE)

If P is a point below P (Fig. 17.18) and B is the new pole of the wave front with refer to the point P', then the half period strips below B are cut off by the obstacle and only the unco half period strips above B will be effective in producing the illumination at P. As P moves fr from P, more number of half period strips above B is also cut off and the intensity gradually Thus within the geometrical shadow, the intensity gradually falls off depending on the posi-

P' with respect to P.

The intensity distribution on the screen due to a straight edge is shown in Fig. 17.19. S is the source, AD is the straight edge and MN is the screen. In the illuminated portion PM, alternate bright and dark bands of gradually and dark bands of gradually diminishing intensity will be observed and the intensity falls off gradually in the region of the straight diminishing intensity will be observed and the intensity the shadows cast h in the region of the geometrical shadow. Thus according to the wave theory, the shadows cast by obstacles in the rest of the shadow. obstacles in the path of light are not sharp and hence rectilinear propagation of the geometric approximately true. In general, there is gradual fading of intensity in the region of the geometrical shadow and with shadow and with monochromatic light bright and dark bands (diffraction bands) are observed in the



illuminated portion of the screen. However, with white light coloured bands will be observed and the bands of shorter wavelength are nearer the point P.

DIFFRACTION PATTERN DUF TO A NARDOW SUT 17.11.

A Taythank of Onti

412 A Textbook of Optics

DIFFRACTION DUE TO A NARROW WIRE 17.12.

In Fig. 17.22, S is a narrow slit illuminated by monochromatic light, AB is the diameter of the narrow wire and MN is the screen. The length of the wire is parallel to the plane of the perpendicular to the perpendicular t perpendicular to the plane of the paper. The screen is also perpendicular to the plane of the paper. XY is the institute XY is the incident cylindrical wave front. P is a point on the screen such that SOP is perpendicular to the screen Find to the screen. EF is the region of the geometrical shadow and above E and below F, the screen i_s illuminated.



Now, let us consider a point P' on the screen in the illuminated portion. Let us join S to O', a point on the wave front. O' is the pole of the wave front with reference to P'. The intensity P' due to the wave front above O' is the same at all points and the effect due to the wave front BY is negligible. The intensity at P' will be a maximum or a minimum depending on whether the number of half period strips between O' and A is odd or even. Thus, in the illuminated portion of the screen, diffraction bands of gradually diminishing intensity will be observed. The distinction between maxima and minima will become less if P' is far away from the edge E of the geometrical shadow. Maxima and minima cannot be distinguished if the wire is very narrow, because in that case the portion BY of the wavefront also produces illumination at P.

Next let us consider a point P" in the region of the geometrical shadow. Interference bands of equal width will be observed in this region due to the fact that the points A and B, of the incident wave front, are similar to two coherent sources. The point P" will be of maximum or minimum intensity, depending on whether the path difference (BP" - AP") is equal to even or odd multiplies

of $\frac{\lambda}{2}$. The fringe width β is given by

...

or

$$=\frac{D\lambda}{d}$$

β

where D is the distance between the wire and the screen, λ is the wave length of light and d is the distance between the two coherent sources. In this case d = 2r where 2r is diameter of the wire (AB = 2r).

$$\beta = \frac{D\lambda}{2r}$$
(17.19)

$$r = \frac{D\lambda}{2\beta}$$
(17.20)

$$\lambda = \frac{2r\beta}{D}$$
(17.21)



Here, β the fringe width corresponds to the distance between any two consecutive maxima. Thus, from equations (17.20) and (17.21), knowing the values of r or λ ; λ or r can be determined. In Fig. 17.23 the bands marked "a" represent the interference bands in the region of the geometrical shadow and the bands marked "b" and "c" represent the diffraction bands in the illuminated portion. The intensity distribution due to a narrow wire is shown in Fig. 17.24 (a). The center of the geometrical shadow is bright.

the

nd

er.

ar

is

On the other hand, if the wire is very thick, the interference

bands cannot be noticed. From equation (17.19), $\beta = \frac{D\lambda}{2r}$; where β



is the fringe width. As the diameter of the wire increases the fringe width decreases and if the wire is sufficiently thick, the width of the interference fringes decreases considerably and they cannot be distinguished. The intensity falls off rapidly in the geometrical shadow. The diffraction pattern in the illuminated portion will be similar to that of a thin wire Fig. 17.24(b). Coloured fringes will be observed with white light.



Fig. 17.24